

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_100746
Title of the Manuscript:	CHALLENGES AND OPPORTUNITIES OF DIGITAL EDUCATION IN INDIA
Type of the Article	Opinion Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajess.com/index.php/AJESS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
--	---------------------------	--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Review Form 1.7

<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>YES</p> <p>YES</p> <p>YES</p> <p>YES</p> <p>YES - but to make it more scientific</p> <p>NO - many of the points need references to back them up</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>ALMOST - the paper would benefit from a close reading by a native English speaker.</p> <p>I am conscious that the author uses numbered bullet points in the main part of the paper. If this is acceptable in this journal, so be it; but please review the manuscript instructions.</p> <p>All abbreviations should be expanded in the first instance and then you can use the abbreviations. Do not assume your reader knows the Indian education system. Explore the problems that are specific to India - many of the issues are universal in the world.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The paper is interesting and has a structure and it is generally well written. I encourage the author to improve the paper with references and diagrams as indicated in the suggestions/comments in the paper.</p>	

PART 2:

	<p>Reviewer's comment</p>	<p>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</p>
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

<p>Name:</p>	<p>Thomas Mc Cloughlin</p>
<p>Department, University & Country</p>	<p>School of STEM Education, Innovation & Global Studies, Dublin City University, Ireland</p>