

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_100746
Title of the Manuscript:	CHALLENGES AND OPPORTUNITIES OF DIGITAL EDUCATION IN INDIA
Type of the Article	Opinion Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajess.com/index.php/AJESS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Yes, it is important for the scientific community. Yes, it is suitable. Yes, comprehensive. Satisfactory. Suggested to add few more keywords. Proper format and order must be followed. Abstract, Introduction, Literature review, Methodology, Results and Discussion, Conclusion and Future enhancements. Literature review is missing and it should be included after introduction. References are satisfied. Suggested to keep numbering for all the references such as 1, 2, 3, etc... and each reference must be cited in the article content. Suggested to include future enhancements to support the future researchers after the conclusion part. 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Language usage is satisfactory. All the paragraphs must begin with some Indentation. It's missing in all the paragraph. Suggested to included indentation for each paragraph. 	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	Appreciated the authors for their interest in preparation of this article. I believe that this paper can be published after updating the above mentioned compulsory / Minor recommendations.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Rajasekaran S
Department, University & Country	College of Computing and Information Sciences, University of Technology and Applied Sciences, Oman