

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_100425
Title of the Manuscript:	INFLUENCE OF JOB OPPORTUNITIES ON STUDENTS' CHOICE OF BUSINESS EDUCATION IN FEDERAL CAPITAL TERRITORY (FCT)-COLLEGE OF EDUCATION ZUBA, ABUJA.
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalajess.com/index.php/AJESS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. The manuscript is vital for scientific community because it exposes the Government and the citizens on the possible effect of job opportunities in both private and government sectors on the choice of course of study, especially, Business Education</p> <p>2. The title is suitable.</p> <p>3. The abstract should include the "objectives of the study" and be brief.</p> <p>4. No. There should equally be literature review. It is not captured. A scientific method of analysis should be adopted in analysing the data collected.</p> <p>5. The conclusion failed to address the objectives of study. For example, the recommendations on private sector and self-reliance were not captured.</p> <p>6. No. I suggest that literature review be done to update the reference.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Yes	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Echeme, Ibeawuchi Ifeanyi
Department, University & Country	Federal University of Technology, Nigeria