

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AJESS_100105</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>The feeling of belonging and inclusion in a European outermost university</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Casual</b>

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajess.com/index.php/AJESS/editorial-policy> )

## Review Form 1.7

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. The text is an interesting contribution to the situation at a specific university, referring to a "remote place". Nevertheless, it is a summary of an activity implemented in the frame of the BELONG Project (The BELONG project has been implemented in partnership with Masaryk University, Central European University, Nottingham Trent University, University of Madeira and Slovak Association for Quality Enhancement in Higher Education (AKVV)). For scientific purposes significant items are missing: There is no methodology described, and a concrete research question is missing. Besides this, it is difficult to pick out the numbers of the text.</p> <p>2. Basically – yes</p> <p>3. No – the project is mentioned without further information.</p> <p>4. The document does not follow standard structured research papers. It looks more like a summary of project activities than a research paper.</p> <p>5. There are no questions about the questionnaires available, no statistic data, there is no description of the implementation of questionnaires or surveys. Individual results of the questionnaires are interpreted verbally. This does not look like a scientific approach.</p> <p>6. There is no direct context between the given references and the text. It is unclear in which context the collection of references must be seen. Striking is the fact that many references deal with conducting case studies and turning statistical data into results - this is one thing that was insufficiently done in the document.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	It is ok so far, the formatting is poor (and shows some faults)	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	From my point of view, the document looks like an activity report in the frame of a joint project of several universities but does not show the expected appearance of a scientific study. The research rationale, the methodology used, the questions asked in the questionnaires, and the description of the type of questionnaires (Likert scale - or school grade assessment - or what else?) are missing.	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Peter Mazohl
Department, University & Country	Austria