

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Environment & Ecology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEE_102078
Title of the Manuscript:	FACTORS INFLUENCING THE IMPLEMENTATION OF FOREST DEVELOPMENT FINANCING IN TEBING SIRING VILLAGE , TANAH LAUT REGENCY, SOUTH KALIMANTAN
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajee.com/index.php/AJEE/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>Yes, it is as the study focused on identifying factors influencing implementation of forest development financing. In fact the success rate of any development program depends on the key factors which leads for or largely promotes the implementation of program.</p> <p>Yes, the title of the article is suitable.</p> <p>Yes, the abstract of the article is comprehensive, but needs editing a lot by the English grammar expert.</p> <p>Yes, the subsections and structure of the manuscript is appropriate.</p> <p>It is scientifically correct, but there are lot of grammatical mistakes, need to be taken care before proceeding for final printing of the article.</p> <p>Yes, the references quoted by the author are sufficient and recent.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>No, the language / English quality of the article is not suitable for scholarly communications. Hence, it may revised a lot before finalizing for printing.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>The statistical analysis chosen, i.e., multiple linear regression analysis is more opt for the study.</p>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>R. Vijaya Kumari</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Professor Jayashankar Telangana State Agricultural University, India</b>