

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEBA_101662
Title of the Manuscript:	Analysis of Willingness To Pay Working Accident Protection for Online Motorcycle Drivers
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajebo.com/index.php/AJEBA/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? 2. Is the title of the article suitable? 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.	Yes. As It is about the safety of the rider of bikes. Yes No. Abstract is written in the form of synopsis. Need to write with background, work and result. Average. Need to change. In Introduction, background of the project and previous works should be explained. Methodology is not explained properly. Results and discussion need to be explained properly. Not sure. Insufficient data to confirm. Yes.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Insufficient information.	
Optional/General comments	Overall structure of the paper with content should be modified.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	G Sujaykumar
Department, University & Country	Yenepoya Institute of Technology, India