

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_100456
Title of the Manuscript:	DEVELOPMENT OF CITIZENS EDUCATION LEARNING MODEL BASED ON REJECTING LOCAL WISDOM TO IMPROVE STUDENT SOCIAL BEHAVIOR
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajess.com/index.php/AJESS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes, the manuscript is important for the scientific community. Citizenship education is an interesting and important topic to be researched.</p> <p>I have not been able to understand the reason for including '...based on rejecting local wisdom...' in the title.</p> <p>Sampling details (sampling technique, sample size) may be included.</p> <p>Yes.</p> <p>Yes.</p> <p>Yes. But the referencing style needs to be revisited.</p> <p>The literature review section can be revised. What is the gap in the literature that has been researched?</p> <p>Please check the punctations throughout the manuscript.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>I feel the <u>major</u> issue with the manuscript is sentence construction. The sentences need to be rephrased.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Kalpak Chaudhuri
Department, University & Country	Gedu College of Business Studies, Royal University of Bhutan, India