

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Cardiology Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJCR_101333
Title of the Manuscript:	Ramadan and coronary artery disease
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajcr.com/index.php/AJCR/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>1. <b>A. Yes.</b></p> <p>2. <b>Yes. But</b> the "Title" modification is needed. Please, it is preferable to change it to "<b>Ramadan and coronary artery disease-A Comparative Study</b>".</p> <p>3. <b>Yes.</b> But please, <b>change the following sentence</b> in the "<b>Background</b>" of the "<b>Abstract</b>" "The aim of the study was to investigate the prevalence of acute coronary syndrome (ACS) in Moroccan patients during Ramadan." in the "<b>Background</b>" of the "<b>Abstract</b>" to "<b>This study aimed to investigate the prevalence of acute coronary syndrome (ACS) in Moroccan patients during Ramadan</b>".</p> <p>4. <b>Yes.</b></p> <p>5. <b>A. Yes.</b> But there is no need for this phrase "<b>however there are controversies</b>" in the 6<sup>th</sup> line of the "<b>Background</b>". Please, delete it.</p> <p>5. <b>B.</b> The <b>following sentence</b> in the "<b>Methods</b>"; (<b>Our hospital provides inpatient and outpatient medical and surgical care for the residents and surroundings, and is the only tertiary care center in the district , making it ideal for population-based studies</b>) is quietly irrelevant to your article. Please, delete it.</p> <p>5. <b>C.</b> Please, <b>why write whole Reference</b> "Mathew G et Agha R, pour le groupe STROCSS. STROCSS 2021 : Renforcement de la notification des études de cohorte, transversales et cas-témoins en chirurgie. Journal international de chirurgie 2021;96:106165." with French after this sentence "The work has been reported in line with the STROCCS criteria"?! Please, <b>transfer this Reference to the References and correct accordingly.</b></p> <p>6. <b>Yes. But some "References indexing was neglected.</b> Please, provide the defective indexing.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	There are a few " <b>Grammatical</b> " mistakes. So, corrections and language editing are <b>needed</b> .	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	-	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Yasser Mohammed Hassanain Elsayed</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Egypt</b>