

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Case Reports in Surgery
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJCRS_101857
Title of the Manuscript:	Adolescent Extra-Renal Wilms' Tumour : The Challenge Of Diagnosing Retroperitoneal Tumour Manifesting Hepatomegaly Symptoms In District Hospital.
Type of the Article	CASE REPORT

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajcrs.com/index.php/AJCRS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>The manuscript is important for scientific community because it touched human health</p> <p>The choice of theme is not defined and detailed in the text</p> <p>The title is long but it is clear</p> <p>The abstract needs reorganization</p> <p>The introduction needs to be well enriched with recent documents and references in relation of course to the theme</p> <p>The subtitle "Case report" needs other image of the patient and also that you filed on the photos are not clear</p> <p>The discussion must be enriched by other recent references and works in the same thematic to compare your results with them</p> <p>the conclusion is very short it must be well detailed and put recommendations and perspectives</p> <p>Bibliographic references require reorganization and enrichment with other references</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	Acceptable language	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Boukerker Hassen
Department, University & Country	Scientific and Technical Research Center on Arid Regions, Algeria