

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Biotechnology and Genetic Engineering
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJBGE_100906
Title of the Manuscript:	PROSPECTS AND CHALLENGES OF BREEDING SOME SELECTED TUBER AND ROOT CROPS IN CROSS RIVER STATE, NIGERIA
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalajbge.com/index.php/AJBGE/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. YES. This manuscript provides a concise review of the subject, which is important for the advancement of scientific knowledge in the field.</p> <p>2. NO. The content of the manuscript relates more to Nigeria than to Cross River State. So I suggest this "PROSPECTS AND CHALLENGES OF BREEDING SOME SELECTED TUBER AND ROOT CROPS IN NIGERIA"</p> <p>3. YES.</p> <p>4. YES.</p> <p>5. YES.</p> <p>6. NO. For a review article, the references are too modest.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	YES.	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	In the conclusion, a few clarifications of location are necessary for certain statements.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Siendou Coulibaly
Department, University & Country	Jean Lorougnon Guédé University, Côte d'Ivoire