

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural and Horticultural Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAHR_101682
Title of the Manuscript:	USE OF SOMATIC EMBRYOGENESIS AS AN EFFECTIVE APPROACH FOR DEVELOPING NEW STRAINS OF HEIRLOOM FOREIGN CARROT (DAUCUS CAROTA L.) CULTIVARS TO PRODUCE BOLTING-TOLERANT CULTIVARS UNDER EGYPTIAN CONDITIONS
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalajahr.com/index.php/AJAHR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Cell culture is a very difficult technic. To use this technic to study the effect of abiotic stress on the development of somatic is very important and interesting.</p> <p>The title is very long. I wish that you can make is shorter and easier.</p> <p>The abstract should present the aim of the work and its comparison to other works.</p> <p>Introduction is very long. Discussion should be more developed.</p> <p>The structure is scientifically correct.</p> <p>The references are sufficient and recent.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>English language is acceptable. It needs revision.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>I made some corrections and suggestion in the manuscript. The author uses long sentences, I advise him to make it shorter. He repeats this "developing new strains of heirloom foreign carrot (Daucus carota l.) cultivars to produce bolting-tolerant cultivars under Egyptian conditions" three times in the manuscript and in the title. He talked about Egyptian-conditions and didn't cite the temperature or the moisture or any parameter about the conditions. He didn't explain what is bolting-tolerant.</p>	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ines Jlassi
Department, University & Country	Tunisia