

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural and Horticultural Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJHR_100871
Title of the Manuscript:	Identification of Egyptian Mangrove species based on DNA barcoding
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajahr.com/index.php/AJHR/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1- Yes, this manuscript is important to the scientific community because it shows how foliar spraying of macro and micronutrients can help improve the yield and seed quality of the ancient black pea variety ADT3. The manuscript also explores the role of macro and micronutrients in plant development and their impact on seed yield and quality, which can be very useful for farmers. The results can also help in making decisions to improve seed yield and quality in relay crops and increase food production and security worldwide.</p> <p>3. The summary is complete.</p> <p>4- Yes, the subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate. The article begins with an introductory section that provides background and introduction to the topic. The next section presents the materials and methods used to conduct the study. The results are then presented and discussed. Finally, an overall conclusion is given.</p> <p>5- Yes, the manuscript seems to be scientifically correct.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes, the quality of the language and English of the article is suitable for scholarly communication.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Nejjar El Ansari Zineb
Department, University & Country	Abdelmalek Essaadi University, Morocco