

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural and Horticultural Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAHR_100757
Title of the Manuscript:	Nuclear Technology in Horticulture: Boosting Productivity, Controlling Pests, Conserving Water
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajahr.com/index.php/AJAHR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	Yes Title is suitable Abstract is comprehensive. It reflects the content of the article Yes Yes References should be completed with more recent studies. E.g. Radiosensitivity of two varieties of watermelon (Citrullus lanatus) to different doses of gamma irradiation Authors (9): Paul Ernest, Fokam; Hortense Noelle, Mafouasson Apala, Ntsomboh-Ntsefong Godswill, Shariati, Mohammad Ali Published: Dec 2020 in Revista Brasileira de Botanica DOI: 10.1007/S40415-020-00659-8	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Check typos and grammar	
Optional/General comments	Journal guidelines must be followed	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Godswill Ntsomboh Ntsefong
Department, University & Country	University of Yaounde 1, Cameroon