

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_102030
Title of the Manuscript:	STUDY ON PSYCHO-SOCIAL PROBLEMS OF COVID-19 AFFECTED YOUNG ADULTS LIVING IN MADAKASIRA TOWN OF ANANTAPURAM DISTRICT ANDHRA PRADESH
Type of the Article	

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajaees.com/index.php/AJAEES/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</li> <li><b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</li> <li><b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></li> <li><b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></li> <li><b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></li> <li><b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></li> </ol> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>Yes, it's. This research is indirectly important to the scientific community. The main focus of the study was to swot on "Study on psycho-social problems of covid-19 affected young adults living in Madakasira town of Anantapuram district Andhra Pradesh".</p> <p>Yes, it's.</p> <p>The abstract should also include quantitative research findings.</p> <p><b>Yes.</b></p> <p>I think the average and standard deviation should be added to the table to ensure the reliability of the article.</p> <p>- The researcher should discuss the results and add references in the section Result and discussion.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></li> </ol>	<p>Yes, it's.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>- The researcher should add questionnaire details in supplementary data.</p> <p>- I think the average and standard deviation should be added to the table to ensure the reliability of the article.</p> <p>- The researcher should discuss the results and add references in the section Result and discussion.</p> <p>- Researchers should supplement their solutions and provide recommendations on the results and discussions.</p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Veeranuch Srakaew</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Chandrakasem Rajabhat University, Thailand</b>