

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_101862
Title of the Manuscript:	Knowledge of the Respondents towards Paddy Production Technology in Mahabubnagar district of Telangana
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajaees.com/index.php/AJAEES/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. Yes, the title of the article is up-to-date and researchable. 2. The title of the article should be rearranged. 3. The abstract of the article is not comprehensive enough. 4. Subsections and structure of the article are not appropriate. 5. The article cannot be said to be scientifically complete. 6. References are not enough.</p> <p>The title of the study should be revised. In the introduction part, information about the importance and purpose of the subject should be given. Information should be given about the analysis method used in the study. Tables should be cited in the text. While evaluating the results of the study, besides giving information about the numerical results obtained, comments should also be made. Mentioned in the core part of the study, "Independent variables namely age education annual income land holding, mass media exposure extension contacts, and economic motivation had positive and significant relationships with adoption respectively." Its subject is not included in the text. The conclusion section is insufficient, it should be rewritten by interpreting the results of the study. Reference rules must be followed.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>		
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ismail Ukav
Department, University & Country	Adiyaman University, Turkey