

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_101692
Title of the Manuscript:	Adoption of Agricultural Technology and Socio Economic Impact of Super Straw Management System in Haryana
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of zelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajaees.com/index.php/AJAEES/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • What is SMS? Is it "Super Straw Management System"? Fail to put the abbreviation to indicate the meaning in the content • Need to have clearer description on the current scenario on the studies area in Haryana. • Research objective (1): "To study the adoption level alongwith the factors affecting the adoption of super straw management system among adopter farmers" is too long and seems to have two objectives in one objective. Need to rewrite and refine the words used. • No review of past studies pertaining to the study were provided. This is one of the most important part of a research. • No reasoning and justifications were provided in the study to be conducted at Fatehabad district, Haryana, sample size, criteria of study, types of analyses conducted etc • Results obtained need to be interpreted instead of reporting. Need to explain the meaning of the results obtained. • No conclusion was provided and fail to apply and explain how the results obtained can be used by various stakeholders to narrow the current research gap identified. • Need to be consistent with the references format. There are references with bracket for the year while some do not. Eg. Lakhvir Singh,L. and Balraj Singh Brar,B.R(2021) A Review on Rice Straw Management Strategies.Nature Environment and Pollution Technology,V-20(4):1485-1493, Nasr, A.M., Badawi, M.H., Demerdash, M.A. and Barakat, O.S. 2015. Bioconversion of Rice straw into Ethanol: Fungi and yeasts are the Bachbone Microbiota of the process. Int. J. Curr. Microbiol. Appl. Sci., 4(12): 382-401 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>		
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Chiam CC
Department, University & Country	Malaysia