

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_101490
Title of the Manuscript:	Market Potential of Selected Agricultural Products and Problems Identification of Groundnut Cultivation in Bhankhokhari Market of Devbhoomi Dwarka District
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalajaees.com/index.php/AJAEES/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Groundnut cultivation is a promise of sustainable economic returns to the farmers of the study area. So the findings of the manuscript is important for scientific community</li> <li>Explaining the Marketing potential agrochemicals and problems of groundnut cultivation – Pls revised the title and make it short</li> <li>Abstract: It should be based on objectives, research methodology and results and discussion and some suggestion. Please rewrite again not in generalise.</li> <li>Introduction part is short...pls make it with citation more number of latest references.</li> <li>Manuscript is scientifically correct but need revision and improvement in Introduction and results and discussion.</li> <li>In sampling, why groundnut farmers of Devbhoomi Dwarka District is selected?</li> <li>In Conclusion: Pls make it specific according to your objectives and findings. Conclusion should be specific and what would you suggest for policy implications in the study area.</li> <li>Reference is not sufficient. Pls add latest references.</li> </ul>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Yes, Quality of article is suitable.</li> <li>Kindly mention more references inside the text</li> </ul>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Lakshmi Dhar Hatai
Department, University & Country	College of Horticulture & Forestry, Central Agricultural University, India