

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_100966
Title of the Manuscript:	"An Investigation of the Distribution Channels and Demand Analysis for NFL products in Sri Ganganagar district of Rajasthan"
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajaees.com/index.php/AJAEES/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>The manuscript can be of scientific importance is there is major over whole of the entire write up by adding additional write up on the introduction, methodology and result and discussion.</p> <p>Yes to my opinion it is ok</p> <p>No it has to give in sign on the research so the reader may find it suitable for reading the content Yes it is a little bit</p> <p>It is a research that is been carried out, so to my opinion yes</p> <p>All the reference provided by the researcher was not capture in the entire manuscript</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>It may be to some readers while others it may not, but to me it can be,</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The manuscript should be rewrite and add more writr up so that it will bring more meaning</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Armiyau Buhari Khalid
Department, University & Country	Kebbi State University of Science and Technology, Nigeria