

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_100897
Title of the Manuscript:	ANALYSIS OF FARMERS' SATISFACTION LEVEL BEEF COW ON PARTNERSHIP IMPLEMENTATION MAIWA BREEDING CENTER IN BARRU DISTRICT
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajaees.com/index.php/AJAEES/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>It is important because it reports the actual situation in the field, and gives a clear view of the relationship is positive or not to continue with the activity.</p> <p>Yes, I agree with the title of the article, it suits the problem and research objectives, although there is a need to improve the research problem.</p> <p>Yes, the abstract of the article is comprehensive.</p> <p>Yes, subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate.</p> <p>Yes, I think the manuscript is scientifically correct.</p> <p>No, I don't have suggestion.</p> <p>When describing the methodology, as these are beef cattle, I believe that environmental conditions greatly influence breeding, if you don't mind it should include the geographic location and climate of the study region. In addition to the variables studied, a believe that it should include more variables citing as an example, feed, pasture, managements in general as well as diseases. In the discussion should call more authors to refute the results.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>Yes, language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>No comment</p>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Matos Manuel</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Instituto Superior Politécnico de Manica, Mozambique</b>