

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Environment & Ecology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEE_101363
Title of the Manuscript:	CARBON STORES AND CO2 ABSORPTION POTENTIAL IN PALM OIL RECLAMATION AREA AT PT. BORNEO INDOBARA (BIB), TANAH BUMBU DISTRICT, SOUTH KALIMANTAN
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lac k of N o velt y**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajee.com/index.php/AJEE/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>L = Line</p> <p>Abstract: "palm . Study This aim For do analyze" -> wrong sentence.</p> <p>Abstract: it is not clear in the abstract how the quantification of CO2 was done.</p> <p>Introduction: -> English is very bad, writing is very bad. Hard to understand what the authors wrote.</p> <p>L54: "The results of research conducted by..." -> the text of the introduction is not appropriate, it looks like a discussion of results. In the introduction, authors should write generically about the object of study and not present results from the literature.</p> <p>Figure 1: very small, not understandable.</p> <p>L108-L109: Meaning of "Cn" and "Lar"?</p> <p>Table 1: values with comma and dot, in the same column, like "4.52" and "3,62", looks like something is wrong, confusing.</p> <p>-> pages 1 and 2 have the text divided into two columns, the following pages have the text in a single column, check the correct formatting.</p> <p>-> the mathematical equation that is in the abstract presents a formatting error of a character.</p> <p>-> keywords: format de chemical equation "CO2" -> CO2 throughout the text the molecular formula "CO2" is not formatted, check the entire text</p> <p>L19: "...grow (Rahayu et al., 2007)" -> space left inside parentheses</p>	

Review Form 1.7

	<p>L131: "Indobara seen _ _ _ _ p a da Table 1 ." -> ??? (I didn't understand. Confused.)</p> <p>L132: "T able 1." -> format.</p> <p>L166: "H2O" -> H₂O Check all molecular formula (entire text)</p> <p>L184-L185: formatting errors.</p> <p>L199: "land quality (availability of water and nutrients) . et al. , 201 7)." -> Reference missing.</p> <p>-> several gross formatting errors throughout the text, this shows the authors' lack of care with their own material before submission. This disposes against the quality of work.</p> <p>Figure 2 and 3: do not put the title of the chart inside the figure, just below it in the description.</p> <p>L236 and L261: Again, the mathematical equation presents a formatting error of a character. Check the entire text.</p> <p>-> References: check that all references cited throughout the text are in the reference list. Check that all references in the list are cited throughout the text.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>		
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	<p>Reviewer's comment</p>	<p>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</p>
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

<p>Name:</p>	<p>Thiago Simonato Mozer</p>
<p>Department, University & Country</p>	<p>Universidade Federal Fluminense, Brazil</p>