

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Environment & Ecology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEE_101363
Title of the Manuscript:	CARBON STORES AND CO2 ABSORPTION POTENTIAL IN PALM OIL RECLAMATION AREA AT PT. BORNEO INDOBARA (BIB), TANAH BUMBU DISTRICT, SOUTH KALIMANTAN
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalajee.com/index.php/AJEE/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1.-Yes, it is important for scientific community</p> <p>2- The title of the article is not suitable. .I don't suggest an alternative title because there is a lack of coherence between the title, the objective and the conclusions. Despite this it could be: ESTIMATE OF CARBON STORES AND CO₂ ABSORPTION POTENTIAL BY <i>Elaeis guineensis</i> JACQ. IN THE RECOVERY AREA OF PT BORNEO INDOBARA (BIB), INDONESIA</p> <p>3.- The abstract needs modification.</p> <p>4.- Lack of detail of the statistical analysis used and significance levels</p> <p>5. In materials and methods details are missing that are placed in the results. Lack of data collection methodology</p> <p>6. There are current references but some are missing that are cited and are not placed in the references. Some are misquoted in the text.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	There are many typing and writing errors.	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	See attachment	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Joseau Marisa Jacqueline
Department, University & Country	Universidad Nacional de Córdoba, Argentina