

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics &amp; Sociology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_100594
Title of the Manuscript:	Core Skills and Competencies Essential for Agricultural Extension Workers to Meet Present Day Challenges
Type of the Article	

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalajaees.com/index.php/AJAEES/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>As per core competencies of the extension personnel is concerned it's very important for them to perform their job efficiently and effectively</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>The abstract should include method of selection of respondents and type of extension personnel.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>There is a need to look into the table no. 1. It seems that mean score are not matched with the index mean score (look at the mean score in other tables). Similarly, in methodology the researcher should write down the criteria about calculating mean and index mean score.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	Suitable	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>As per my opinion the mean score is calculated by obtained score divided by total number of respondents.</p> <p>Index score is calculated by obtained scores divided by maximum obtainable score.</p> <p>The researcher has selected extension personnel from three different type of department and makes a total of 261 respondents but there job responsibilities are different. Also they deal with different crops and different types of farmers. So, to my opinion there should be a comparative study of core competencies of these extension personnel working in three different departments. Instead of clubbing them in one group its better to compare their core competencies .</p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Vipan Kumar Rampal</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Krishi Vigyan Kendra, Punjab Agricultural University, India</b>