

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Advances in Research</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AIR_100761
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessment of Formaldehyde-Induced Arthritis (FIA) using Modified Rheumatoid Arthritis Disease Activity Index (RADAI) on Adult Male Wistar Rats
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalair.com/index.php/AIR/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>1.This manuscript evaluate the rheumatoid arthritis disease activity index of formaldehyde induction (FIA) as an alternative method in comparison to collagen induction (CIA)</p> <p>2. Yes 3.Yes 4.Yes 5.Yes 6.Yes</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>1. Yes</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>1. Please insert the standard error for different groups in figures 1 and 2. The authors did not mention statistical tests in this manuscript.</p> <p>2. A comparison has not been made between different groups and different days in all criteria such as weight, ESR, ACCPA and Modified Mankin Scores</p> <p>3. Please Write p value in all comparisons.</p> <p>4. In abstract section, in this sentence "Groups B, C, and D had two doses of 0.1% formaldehyde (50µl, 100µl, and 100µl, respectively)." 50µl, 100µl, and 200µl is correct.</p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Mohsen Korani</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Baqiyatallah University of Medical Sciences, Iran</b>