

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Archives of Current Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ACRI_101207
Title of the Manuscript:	CLINICAL AND EPIDEMIOLOGICAL ASPECTS IN INDIGENOUS ELDERLY PEOPLE WITH TUBERCULOSIS IN A STATE IN THE BRAZILIAN AMAZON
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalacri.com/index.php/ACRI/editorial-policy>

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>7. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? Yes</p> <p>8. Is the title of the article suitable? Yes</p> <p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Yes</p> <p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Yes</p> <p>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Yes</p> <p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. Yes</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u> Yes</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Yes	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The study is relevant, mainly because it can enable strategies for caring for the indigenous people and boost government measures.</p> <p>I strongly suggest that another software be used for the construction of graphs. Ex. origin. The graphics quality is quite low and inadequate.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Valdomiro Vagner de Souza
Department, University & Country	University Center of Itajubá, Brazil