

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_87469
Title of the Manuscript:	Eco-Literacy: for the well-being of the earth
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>In Sec. Green skill development program: In the second paragraph this should be corrected (it is ordered in reverse in the manuscript) ... "The Green Skill Development Programme (GSDP) of the Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate Change of India ...</p> <p>In Sec. Conclusions: This section is not fully aligned with the content of the previous paragraphs. I think the authors present their central idea here as closure. I recommend changing its title to "Final considerations," as the manuscript is, in my opinion, a short essay on the subject.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>There are two paragraphs in the manuscript that are repeated. I point them out in the text highlighted.</p> <p>The "Department of Environmental Sciences" is appointed in Sec. Conclusions. I recommend clarifying to which institution this Department belongs.</p>	
Optional/General comments	<p>I include in the manuscript some "form" corrections. They are included with the "change control" mode.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Guillermo Martín Caille
Department, University & Country	National University of Patagonia San Juan Bosco, Argentina