

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Energy Research and Reviews
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JENRR_97140
Title of the Manuscript:	Enhanced hydrocarbon recovery using the application of seismic attributes in fault detection and direct hydrocarbon indicator in Tomboy Field, western-Offshore Niger Delta Basin
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://journaljenrr.com/index.php/JENRR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes, it is (Based on their research, the authors have shown that attributes can improve the mapping and interpretation of structural features from seismic data. To ensure a more robust interpretation of the study, Energy attributes were used as Direct Hydrocarbon Indicator (DHI).</p> <p>Yes , it is</p> <p>Yes, it is</p> <p>Yes, but some subsections' numbering needs corrections. I highlighted them with yellow color.</p> <p>Yes, it is</p> <p>Yes, they are</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes, it is</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>1- There is a repeated paragraph on page 7, directly after fig.5. I highlighted it with yellow color. 2- Figures 9 and 10 each include a and b subfigures; please put the letters a and b on those subfigures.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sudad Hameed AL-Obaidi
Department, University & Country	Mining University, Sweden