

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJTDH_100075
Title of the Manuscript:	Do Neonatal Iron Stores Depend on Gestational Age?
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijtdh.com/index.php/IJTDH/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>YES. It addresses methodological gaps identified in previous studies and advances understanding on iron stores and gestational age. In addition, it brings in an observation of outliers' influence on this relationship that would need further research.</p> <p>I suggest: "Neonatal Iron Stores Depend on Gestational Age": A Prospective Comparative Cross-sectional study at Neonatal Intensive Care Unit, University of Nigeria Teaching Hospital, Enugu State, Nigeria.</p> <p>YES.</p> <p>YES.</p> <p>If time allows, have a quick review from a (bio)statistician and an expert in this area (medical doctor?)</p> <p>References are rather too old! I do not believe there has been no publications in this area for the past 10 years!!!! If possible, current literature should be added.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>YES.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Check and follow journal-specific guidelines for Tables/Figures presentation. 2. How did the author(s) determine the socioeconomic class(es) of participants? 3. Table 1: Stated in methods that the study used 70 preterm babies. Why the 70 term babies in this Table giving a total of 140 newborns studied? Make this clear to the reader. 4. If possible and acceptable, merge Tables 5a, b, and c for easy readers' comparison. 5. Avoid using uncommon abbreviations. Eg. 'GA' in the discussion. If cannot be avoided, write in full first time used. 	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Switbert Rwechungura Kamazima
Department, University & Country	Muhimbili University of Health and Allied Sciences, Tanzania