

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJTDH_100075
Title of the Manuscript:	Do Neonatal Iron Stores Depend on Gestational Age?
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijtdh.com/index.php/IJTDH/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)

Review Form 1.7

<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Yes, but it was a familiar one.</p> <p>No, neonatal iron stores in a neonate..... Centre, cross-sectional study/prospective observational study, etc. Do neonatal iron stores depend on gestational age? Ans: Yes, only as it was proven.</p> <p>Yes, but it is better to shorten and manage paragraphs.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes, but it is better to consider maternal serum levels and their age. It is better to have more parameters.</p> <p>not proper, not in a sequence in the text, better to check, style also not similar</p> <p>Keywords are better in alphabetical order and as MeSH terms, not in the title.</p> <p>Nice study</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes</p>	

Review Form 1.7

Optional/General comments	It is better to get consent for blood not used for other purposes. A concise introduction is better; shortening the sample size calculation is better (a reference is enough); References are looking more Aims and objectives are better to mention. It would be better to mention the recommendations. It is better to mention the physiology of Fe accretion from mother to baby. An ethical committee number is needed. Adding attrition is more important in follow-up studies.	
----------------------------------	--	--

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Rakesh Kotha
Department, University & Country	Niloufer hospital, India