

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>International Journal of Plant &amp; Soil Science</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IJPSS_99797</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>CHEMICAL WEED CONTROL IN KHARIF SESAME</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original</b>

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory REVISION</b> comments  1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)  2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)  3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b>  4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>  5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b>  6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b>  <b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b>	1- this manuscript is important for science in the sense that the food crisis is a fact and cereal production optimization strategies can only be important opportunities to increase production and meet food needs.  2- The title is not appropriate for this article since it does not make it possible to arouse the interest of its reading. It is very general.  3- the summary of the article is quite complete, it only requires a few adjustments  4- a part on the classification of grasses by plot and on the preparation of the soil before sowing would probably be of some importance. In addition, your conclusion does not appear in your work  5- The manuscript is scientifically significant since it provides essential information in the cultivation of sesame in the study area.  6- there are very few references, and of the 6 cited, only 2 are within the last ten years. You can look at: - <a href="http://www.americanjournalofscientificresearch.com">http://www.americanjournalofscientificresearch.com</a> - <a href="https://www.persee.fr/doc/jatba_0370-5412_1949_num_29_315_6202">https://www.persee.fr/doc/jatba_0370-5412_1949_num_29_315_6202</a> - OLINA BASSALA J.P, WIRNKAR LENDZEMO V., MARNOTTE P. 2009. Désherbage chimique et gestion de l'enherbement du cotonnier au Nord-Cameroun. - <b>And many more else.</b>	
<b>Minor REVISION</b> comments  1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	Some major corrections to make to improve the manuscript	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Good work but some additions are necessary in terms of the discussion of the results, the financial cost of the different treatments, given that the aspect of the high cost was raised as a handicap for weeding	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Joseph Guy Nziéleu Tchagnouo</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>University of Maroua, Cameroon</b>