

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>International Journal of Plant &amp; Soil Science</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IJPSS_99784</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Economic factors and different growth phases of sweet corn (Zea mays L. var. Saccharata) in the South Gujarat area were affected by intra-row spacing and potassium levels</b>
Type of the Article	

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory REVISION</b> comments  <b>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)  <b>2. Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)  <b>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b>  <b>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>  <b>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b>  <b>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b>  <b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b>	This manuscript important for scientific community  <b>the title of the article is appropri</b>  <b>Abstract has been comprehensively described</b>  <b>Structure and subsections are appropriate</b>  <b>The manuscript is scientifically correct</b>  <b>Most of the references used are up to date</b>	
<b>Minor REVISION</b> comments  <b>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	The quality of the English articles used are in accordance with scientific communication	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<b>Introduction:</b> has fully described the research title, what is lacking are the results of previous studies related to this research and needs to be explained further about the advantages and disadvantages of current research with previous research <b>Materials and methods:</b> have been described briefly and clearly <b>Libraries:</b> most of the libraries used are up to date	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Sakka Samudin</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Tadulako University, Indonesia</b>