

## Review Form 1.7

|                          |  |
|--------------------------|--|
| Journal Name:            | <a href="#">International Journal of Plant &amp; Soil Science</a>  |
| Manuscript Number:       | Ms_IJPSS_98169   |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Effect of Foliar Humic Acids on Water Productivity, Biochemical Parameters and Biotic Stress on Geranium Yield |
| Type of the Article      | Original Research Article  |

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

|  | Reviewer's comment  | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|---|---|
| <p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community?<br/>(Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable?<br/>(If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p> | <p>1-Yes the manuscript is important for scientific community to solve the problem of short water .</p> <p>2-suitable</p> <p>3- yes</p> <p>4- yes</p> <p>5-yes</p> <p>6- need to update</p> <p>Check the language and punctuation</p> |   |
| <p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>  | <p>Check the language and punctuation</p> <p>In all the manuscript</p>  |   |
| <p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>  |   |   |

### PART 2:

|   | Reviewer's comment   | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|--|---|
| <p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p> | <p>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</p> |   |

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

|                                  |  |
|----------------------------------|--|
| Name:                            | <b>Iman Mohamed El-Sayed</b>           |
| Department, University & Country | <b>National Research Centre, Egypt</b> |