

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_101422
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of Chitosan application on growth, yield and quality of Strawberry (Fragaria × ananassa Duch.) cv. Winter Dawn
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. The manuscript is essential for the scientific community; the benefits of this study are to be derived for policy utilization agriculture and raise the level of strawberry planting for farmers.</p> <p>2. The title of the manuscript is suitable.</p> <p>3. The abstract of the manuscript is comprehensive.</p> <p>4. The topic "Summary and Conclusion" should be changed to "Conclusion and Suggestion."</p> <p>5. The manuscript is scientifically correct.</p> <p>6. All references are updated to the correct writing style according to APA7 format.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The quality of English articles is suitable for scholarly communication.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>INTRODUCTION:</p> <p>1. "Farouk et al., 2008" does not appear in the reference.</p> <p>2. State at the end what the purpose of this research. How will the research results be helpful in the academic community? How does it help raise the level of strawberry planting that benefits farmers?</p> <p>RESULTS AND DISCUSSION:</p> <p>1. Recheck "Abdel-Mawgoud" in-text citation or "Abdel-Mawagoud" in references.</p> <p>2. "Khan et al. (2002)" does not appear in the reference.</p> <p>3. "(El-Miniawy et al. 2013)." does not appear in the reference.</p> <p>4. Recheck "Petriccioneet al. (2015)." in-text citation or "Petriccione, M." in references.</p> <p>Summary and Conclusion:</p> <p>1. The topic "Summary and Conclusion" should be changed to "Conclusion and Suggestion."</p> <p>2. Add details of recommendations for utilization of research results and guidelines for further research in the future.</p> <p>References:</p> <p>1. "El-Ghaouth, A., Arul, J., Grenier, J. and Asselin, A., 1992," not found in in-text citation.</p> <p>2. The journal in the reference should write the full name, such as European Journal of Scientific Research.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Titiya Netwong
Department, University & Country	Suan Dusit University, Thailand