

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_101167
Title of the Manuscript:	EFFECT OF DIFFERENT PACKAGING MATERIALS AND CHEMICALS ON SHELF LIFE AND QUALITY OF AONLA ( <i>Emblica officinalis</i> L.)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>1. I think that this topic is very interesting for the scientific community because it is a fruit widely consumed and it has potential healthy qualities.</p> <p>2. May I suggest "EFFECT OF DIFFERENT PACKAGING MATERIALS AND COATINGS ON SHELF LIFE AND QUALITY OF AONLA (<i>Emblica officinalis</i> L.)"</p> <p>3. Yes, the abstract is comprehensive.</p> <p>4. Yes, it is appropriate.</p> <p>5. I suggest to include some aspects related to the statistical analysis of the results, and the protocols that the authors employed in the chemical determinations.</p> <p>6. The references are sufficient.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	Yes, it is suitable. I suggest to review the use of capital letters.	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	Please, check out the comments made on the manuscript file.	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Rita María Avila G. de Hernández</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Centroccidental University Lisandro Alvarado, Venezuela</b>