

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_101167
Title of the Manuscript:	EFFECT OF DIFFERENT PACKAGING MATERIALS AND CHEMICALS ON SHELF LIFE AND QUALITY OF AONLA (<i>Emblica officinalis</i> L.)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. Overall, the manuscript has some important points for the scientific community, and if it is well developed, it may contribute to related matters in the future. However, the paper has some shortcomings regarding some data analyses and text, and this unique dataset has not been utilized to its full extent. Given these shortcomings, the manuscript requires major revisions.</p> <p>2. The title and the abstract of this article are available, but they need to be corrected for grammar errors. The references are acceptable.</p> <p>3. The subsections and structure of the manuscript are acceptable, but it must be revised following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The method of writing the sample names in the experimental procedure should be revised because the current description is difficult to understand. It would be beneficial to create a table specifying the materials used for each example and the chemicals involved for better reader comprehension. - In addition, the table explaining the experimental results consists of a large amount of numerical data, making it difficult to assess the experiment's findings. It would be beneficial to revise it by presenting the data in line graphs or bar charts. This will enhance the readability and usefulness of the article for the readers. - In the conclusion section, the inclusion criteria used are not mentioned clearly. The keywords used for publication searches are missing. The authors should draw conclusions about the results of the experiment, such as the best condition and/or future analysis. - 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • English language and style are major spelling and grammar errors, and the capital letter virtually needs extensive revision in several sentences. (I have made some edits in the manuscript, indicated by red text.) English language corrections are necessary for this article 	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>It is advised that the writers carry out more research. However, it is advised to conduct a thorough analysis of analytical methods and evaluation in order to identify any gaps in knowledge that can be filled in a subsequent study.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Pimthong Thongnopkun
Department, University & Country	Burpapha University, Thailand