

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_101125
Title of the Manuscript:	Preparation and organoleptic evaluation of banana pseudostem candy
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	1. Similar studies have already been done and authors did not highlight the results of these studies in order to show the limits. In addition this manuscript is not suitable for original research paper. It would be suitable for short communication. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> https://www.researchgate.net/publication/311393518_Preparation_of_flavored_candy_from_central_core_of_banana_pseudostem http://researchjournal.co.in/upload/assignments/11_86-89-99999.pdf https://www.researchgate.net/publication/352282158_Standardizing_process_of_making_candy_from_the_central_core_of_banana_pseudostem 2. The title is suitable 3. The abstract must be improved 4. The structure (material and methods; result and discussion) of the manuscript is not appropriate. See comments in the paper. 5. The manuscript must be improved in scientific point of view. See comments in the paper. 6. The references are not sufficient. There is a lack of bibliographic research. See comments in the paper.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	1. English language must be improved in a scientific basis.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Zoue Lessoy Yves Thierry
Department, University & Country	University Felix Houphouet-Boigny, Côte d'Ivoire