

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_100968
Title of the Manuscript:	STUDIES ON THE EFFECT OF INTEGRATED NUTRIENT MANAGEMENT ON LEAF NUTRIENT STATUS AND ENZYMES ACTIVITIES IN TEA (CAMELLIA SP.)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. Integrated nutrient management is the maintenance and adjustment of soil fertility and of plant nutrient supply to an optimum level for sustaining the desired crop productivity through optimization of the benefits from all possible sources of plant nutrients in an integrated manner. This field of research is very important in agricultural scientific community.</p> <p>2. The title of the article is suitable.</p> <p>3. No, it's not a comprehensive abstract.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The abstract should state briefly the purpose of the research, the principal results and major conclusions. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Introduction: This section is not satisfactory as it not provided adequate information and background of the research. This should state the problem investigated the aim of the work and previous relevant work with appropriate references. The objectives of the work and provide an adequate background. Materials and methods: The methods and materials used should be stated clearly in sufficient detail to permit the work to be repeated by others. Results and discussion: Results are not described sufficiently. Authors should explain ups and downs in the results in the form of percentage increase or decrease in numerical values. Discussion is also unsatisfactory. The discussion should be an interpretation of the results and their significance with reference to publications of other laboratories. The supporting references are insufficient. Results are needed to be compared with other workers outcomes by numerical values. Conclusions: provides the main conclusions, including why the results are significant? It should stand alone. Generally the Conclusion does not cite references, and it is different from the discussion. <p>4. It has to be divided into sub-sections.</p> <p>5. Unable to make decision due to provision of insufficient information in the manuscript.</p> <p>6. References: References are insufficient and too old.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Manuscript is needed to be checked by spell and grammar mistakes. The language quality is also very poor.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Bilqees Fatima
Department, University & Country	Minhaj University, Pakistan