

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>International Journal of Plant &amp; Soil Science</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IJPSS_100578</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Response of Biofertilizers and Foliar application of Zinc on Growth and Yield of Lentil (<i>Lens culinaris</i> L.)</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy> )

## Review Form 1.7

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>The research topic presents scientific relevance within a current context of climate change.</p> <p>The title held is in accordance with the nature of the work.</p> <p>The abstract does not present the most important aspects of the research.</p> <p>The manuscript has all the items of a scientific article.</p> <p>The manuscript needs to work on important aspects within what is the methodology, the results and their discussion.</p> <p>The references are not sufficient nor current to holistically address this research.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>The references are not sufficient nor current to holistically address this research.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>The topic addressed by the researchers is interesting and of current relevance at an international, national and regional level.</p> <p>However, the way the manuscript is presented does not clearly show the potential of the research. The abstract does not include the problem, the justification of the work, the general methodologies and only the results are described, but their impact is not presented, an important aspect in this item to motivate the reader to read the entire article.</p> <p>The keywords are mostly the same as the title.</p> <p>In the introduction, the antecedent studies are few, outdated and do not have the context. The materials and methods section is not reproducible, they do not clearly present the description of the variables and the statistical analyses are very basic, they are not complemented by correlation or regression studies.</p> <p>The results and discussion are presented in a very general way.</p> <p>The discussion is more biological than agronomic, which does not show the impact of the results. In the conclusions, only the summary of the main results found is presented. Most of the references are very old. Of statistical analysis, only two tables are presented, which are not even listed in the document</p>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Ana Cruz Morillo Coronado</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Universidad Pedagógica y Tecnológica de Colombia, Colombia</b>