

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>International Journal of Environment and Climate Change</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IJECC_99867</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>TO STUDY THE SENSORY QUALITY OF MILK PUDDING BLENDED WITH SAPOTA PULP (ACHRAS SAPOTA L.)</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Research note</b>

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory REVISION</b> comments  1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)  2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)  3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b>  4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>  5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b>  6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b>  <b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b>	<b>Manuscript is so simple and it may consider as research note, and results may be rewritten as it shows other information copied..</b>  <b>Title also to be changed as “ Studies on...”</b>  <b>Abstract also to be changed and may rewrite the same</b>  <b>Reference and justification needed, marker in text</b>  <b>Yes, it is supposed, but language and phrases to be revised</b>  <b>More references to be added as per remark in text</b>  <b>Overall as per work, good idea but justification and writing phrases to be modified</b>	
<b>Minor REVISION</b> comments  1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	Need to modify	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Paper may be accepted after adding as per comments and rewriting article	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>P C Vengaiah</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Dr.YSR Horticultural University, India</b>