

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_99864
Title of the Manuscript:	Influence of different temperature regimes and culture media on the growth and sporulation of A. brassicae causing Alternaria blight of mustard
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
Optional/General comments	The authors present the manuscript (Ms_IJECC_99864) "Influence of different temperature regimes and culture media on the growth and sporulation of <i>A. brassicae</i> causing <i>Alternaria</i> blight of mustard" to be considered a review article for publication in the International Journal of Environment and Climate Change . In general, the original article is well written and I believe this one gives a good overview of the mentioned topic. Although all these positive points, I have some concerns, which I believe should be considered before the Editors final decision. The authors failed in relation to some points of the article, such as in the abstract, introduction, table, citation, and reference.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	João Rufino de Freitas Filho
Department, University & Country	Rural Federal University of Pernambuco, UFRPE, Brazil