

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_99851
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of Cytoplasmic Male Sterile lines and Restorer lines in Moricandia system for heterosis breeding in Indian Mustard [Brassica juncea (L.) Czern & Coss.]
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>This manuscript is throw light on very important issue (Cytoplasmic Male Sterile lines and Restorer lines), to developing commercial heterotic hybrids of crop plants is the non-availability of a reliable pollination control system, particularly for self-pollinated crops. Among the available systems, a combination of male sterility induced by cytoplasmic genes and restoration of fertility by nuclear genes is considered to be the most efficient.</p> <p>- Yes, the title of the article suitable</p> <p>The abstract of the article comprehensive</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Scientifically correct</p> <p>The references sufficient and recent, please add this specific reference in pollen abnormality due to environmental pollution, To update references.</p> <p>Mohamed Azzazy, (2016). Environmental Impacts of Industrial Pollution on Pollen Morphology of <i>Eucalyptus globulus</i> Labill. (Myrtaceae). Journal of Applied Biology & Biotechnology 4 (05); 2016: 057-062</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Minor Revision</p> <p>Yes</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)

Review Form 1.7

Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	
---	--	--

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mohamed Fathy Azzazy
Department, University & Country	Environmental Studies and research Institute, University of Sadat City, Egypt