

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_99564
Title of the Manuscript:	SPATIO-TEMPORAL DISTRIBUTION AND BIOCHEMICAL COMPOSITION OF PHYTOPLANKTON ALONG THE COASTAL WATERS OFF DAKSHIN KANNADA DISTRICT, KARNATAKA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1) Yes. This manuscript is very important for the scientific community, mainly in the region in question. 2) Yes, the title is appropriate. 3) The abstract contains neither objective nor methodology. 4) The subsections and structure are appropriate. I just suggest reviewing the journal's rules to make sure about the numbering, whether it should be in Romans or Arabic. 5) Yes. 6) References are sufficient, but may be more recent.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Yes.	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	I suggest reviewing the journal's publication guidelines for the entire manuscript; I suggest explaining in the text which classification system was used for phytoplankton, since there is a very large discussion about this.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Giovanni Guimarães Landa
Department, University & Country	Centro Universitário de Caratinga, Brazil