

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_101311
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of SRI (System of Rice Intensification) Practices in Increasing the Yield of Traditional Varieties of Rice
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. The manuscript: "Effect of SRI (System of Rice Intensification) Practices in Increasing the Yield of Traditional Varieties of Rice" is very important for scientific community.</p> <p>2. The title of the article: "Effect of SRI (System of Rice Intensification) Practices in Increasing the Yield of Traditional Varieties of Rice" is suitable. From the title, it is easy to understand what this article is about.</p> <p>3. The abstract of the article is almost comprehensive. I think it will be better if the author shows the importance of this research at the beginning of the abstract.</p> <p>4. The subdivisions and structure of the manuscript are almost consistent. I believe that the author needs to add a subsection such as: "Analysis of recent research and publications" to show who deals with such experience in the world and within the country and why the author's approach is original.</p> <p>5. The manuscript is almost scientifically correct. The author is very good shows the results of SRI (System of Rice Intensification) practices. I think the author needs to explain the specifics of this SPI approach.</p> <p>6. The references are sufficient and recent. The author must review all references and make them in one requirement (#1, # 13).</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The language/English of the article is suitable for scholarly communication.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The manuscript is possible to publish after the author will give an explanation the specifics of this SPI approach, add the importance of this research at the beginning of the abstract and to add a subsection: "Analysis of recent research and publications".</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Tetyana Kalna-Dubinyuk
Department, University & Country	Center for Agricultural and Rural Development, Iowa State University, USA