

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_101311
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of SRI (System of Rice Intensification) Practices in Increasing the Yield of Traditional Varieties of Rice
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Is the manuscript important for scientific community? It is very important to the scientific world as well as farming communities because rice is an important crop.</p> <p>Is the title of the article suitable? The title of the article is suitable though slightly changed</p> <p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? The abstract of the article is comprehensive but should consider adhering to the suggestions made</p> <p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? The subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate but must include scientific tables showing all statistics.</p> <p>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? The manuscript is scientifically correct because of its nature and references cited and listed with the views of the author coming out clearly.</p> <p>The data need to be scientifically analyzed to clearly showing probability values, mean.</p> <p>Present all the findings in table forms. It would be fine to use references within ten years backward</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	Yes	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>This is an important manuscript but the author(s) need to</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Include references cited but not listed ▪ Remove out all the figures and replace with scientific tables 	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Felix Mereka Chipojola
Department, University & Country	Malawi