

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_101221
Title of the Manuscript:	Genetic Parameters and Character Association in Ridge Gourd (<i>Luffa acutangula</i> Roxb.)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes, the manuscript well written as scientifically but author has to improve the communication skills in writing the articles</p> <p>No, "Genetic parameters and Character Association of fruit forming factors in Ridge gourd (<i>Luffa actangula</i> Roxb.)"</p> <p>Very good Yes all the body of manuscript are very well Need to give roman number order to the headings and sub headings (I. Introduction, II. M&M sub headings A. B. C. Etcc, III. Result and discussion sub headings A. B. C. Etcc IV. Summery) Yes very well defined No, references are not sufficient and not recent ones. So many are missing in the body of manuscript which were included in list of references 1. Anand, N., 2012, 2. Anonymous, 2010, 3. Bates et al 1993, 4. Bharathi et al 2006, 5. Bisognin et al 2000, 6. Borthakur and Baruah, 2006, 7. Choudhary et al 2011 8. Gayen, and Hossain, 2006, 9. Grafiaus, 1959, 10. Hanumegowda, 2012, 11. Harland, S. C., 1939, 12. Hegade 2009, 13. Hiscox. and Israelstom, 1979,14. Islam, 2009, 15. Kadam, and kale 1987. 16. Kumar et al 2007, 17. Kutty et al 2004 18. Narayanankutty et al 2006, 19. Prakash 2012, 20. Rao et al 2000, 21. Rathod 2007 22. Reddy et al 2013, 23. Simuzu et al 2005 24. Singh et al 2013, 25. Tyagi et al 2010, 26. Falconer and malky 1996.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Ok	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	M. Ramanjineyulu
Department, University & Country	Sri Krishnadevaraya College of Horticultural Sciences, India