

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_101221
Title of the Manuscript:	Genetic Parameters and Character Association in Ridge Gourd (<i>Luffa acutangula</i> Roxb.)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u>	Yes, the title was suitable. No, it was not. See the manuscript. Subsections were not appropriate, see the manuscript. Based upon the report, it is difficult to ascertain if the manuscript is scientifically correct. This is because sections such as methodology, results, and discussion were not adequately reported. Crop development involving genetic probability and advancement towards improving characteristics is not a novel area of research. There were several old references indication that a thorough literature review will be required to obtain recent information relating to the investigation.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	English language was suitable for the scholarly communication with a few grammar corrections.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Emoleila Itoandon
Department, University & Country	India