

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>International Journal of Environment and Climate Change</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IJECC_101045</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Growth behavior of Parthenium hysterophorus as influenced by environmental factors</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments  <b>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)  <b>2. Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)  <b>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b>  <b>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>  <b>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b>  <b>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b>  <b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b>	<i>The manuscript is important for scientific community.</i>  <i>The title of the article is suitable.</i>  <i>The abstract of the article is comprehensive.</i>  <i>There should be a sub-section on <b>Rationale and Objectives of the Study</b>, and a sub-section on <b>Summary of Results and Discussions</b>.</i>  <i>The manuscript is scientifically correct.</i>  <i>Up-to-date additional references should be added. About 46% of the references were dated before Year 2000 which must be substantially reduced with adding more recently published materials.</i>  <i>Additional comments were posted as side notes in the manuscript.</i>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments  <b>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	<i>The use of English is suitable.</i>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Renato L. Lapitan</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Institute of Renewable Natural Resources, University of the Philippines, Philippines</b>