

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>International Journal of Environment and Climate Change</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IJECC_100616</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Optimization of colour parameters of different gluten-free pasta samples using response surface methodology</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy> )

## Review Form 1.7

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>❖ The article is interesting. Different mixes of gluten-free maize flour, finger millet (Ragi) flour, and quinoa flour were utilized as raw materials in this study to optimize the color characteristics of different gluten-free pasta samples using response surface methods.</li> <li>❖ The title properly explains the purpose and objective of the article.</li> <li>❖ The abstract offers an accurate summary about the results. However, the aim of the study needs to be rephrased for more clarity.</li> <li>❖ Please rearrange keywords alphabetically.</li> <li>❖ The manuscript is well designed and appropriate in terms of structure and organization.</li> <li>❖ The manuscript is scientifically outstanding.</li> <li>❖ More and recent references should be added in the introduction.</li> <li>❖ Both additions and corrections were outlined in red color.</li> <li>❖ The words highlighted in yellow should be deleted.</li> <li>❖ Introduction :The last paragraph needs to be rephrased to avoid repetition .Besides, it lacks the aim of the study.</li> <li>❖ Table: It is preferable to clarify the abbreviations in the lower margin of the table</li> </ul>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>❖ English needs to be improved</li> </ul>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>❖ Non</li> </ul>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	<b>Manal Hadi Ghaffoori Kanaan</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Technical Institute of Suwaria, Middle Technical University, Iraq</b>