

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_100524
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessment of chilli leaf curl virus on chilli (<i>Capsicum annum L.</i>) it's management and role of environment in disease incidence
Type of the Article	Research article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	The article is dealing with the chillies leaf curl disease, which is important. Rephrasing required. Check comments. Abstract needs to be re written (check comments) Yes Needs lot of improvement (check comments) Sufficient. One missing. (Check comments)	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Needs improvement and rephrasing (check comments)	
Optional/General comments	The research article seems to be a general cut and paste from the thesis. Materials and methods and discussion part needs lot of improvement.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Suresh Madugula
Department, University & Country	Acharya N G Ranga Agricultural University, India