

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>International Journal of Environment and Climate Change</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IJECC_100524</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Assessment of chilli leaf curl virus on chilli (Capsicum annum L.) it's management and role of environment in disease incidence</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original reserch Article</b>

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy> )

### **PART 1:** Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments <b>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) <b>2. Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title) <b>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b> <b>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b> <b>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b> <b>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b> <b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b>	<b>Yes, the experiment was carried out clearly, they brought out the climate change issues on plant disease assessment and its management</b>  <b>Yes</b>  <b>No, the Authors should rewrite clearly, like background of this study, materials and methods, results and discussion and conclusion in the precise manner.</b>  <b>No</b>  <b>After major corrections it would be scientifically correct</b>  <b>No not sufficient, author should include more and recent references which is easily accessible in online</b>  <b>There is no pictures and pictorial representations of data. Authors should give pictures like photos of diseased symptoms, field photos. Instead of give all the data in the tabular form some data should be in pictorial form. It would good for publishing article</b>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments <b>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	No, Have to improve the writing skill and author can correct the article as per the reviewer's track change.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### **PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	<b>Marimuthu Elangovan</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>ICAR-Indian Agricultural Research Institute, India</b>