

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_100396
Title of the Manuscript:	VARIETAL IDENTIFICATION AND CHARACTERIZATION BASED ON CHEMICAL TESTS IN DIFFERENT INDICA RICE VARIETIES OF NORTH WESTERN HIMALAYAS
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. This manuscript shows interesting tests that could be useful for scientific community or rice producers. Although there is a serious gap in the seed experiment design. If indica is a rice variety, then the research was about discriminating subvarieties of the indica variety? And the results only showed differentiation regarding 2 or 5 groups depending on the color, but do these results point to an authentic "variety" identification?</p> <p>2. In my humble opinion, identification was not achieved in this research, so the title should be changed to: "CHARACTERIZATION OF CHEMICAL TESTS IN DIFFERENT INDICA RICE ACCESSIONS AND POWER OF DISCRIMINATING RICE SUBVARIETIES IN NORTH WESTERN HIMALAYAS"</p> <p>3. The manuscript abstract is comprehensive.</p> <p>4. Subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate.</p> <p>5. The manuscript is scientifically correct, except for the gap or flaw pointed above.</p> <p>6. The references are sufficient, but authors should include more updated papers.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	The English in this manuscript is adequate.	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	There are some word corrections in the manuscript.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Julio Alfonso Chia Wong
Department, University & Country	Universidad Nacional Agraria de la Selva, Perú